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#### Abstract

Inspired by examples of Katok and Milnor [2], we construct a simple example of skew-product volume preserving diffeomorphism where the center foliation is pathological in the sense that, there is a full measure set whose intersection with any center leaf contains at most one point.


## 1. Introduction

An interesting and intriguing phenomenon in dynamical systems is the pathological foliations. Roughly speaking, a foliation is pathological if there is a full volume set that meets every leaf of the foliation on a set of leafvolume zero. In fact, there are examples with a full measure set that intersects each leaf only in a finite number of points. In [2], J. Milnor constructed an example, inspired by A. Katok, of such a non-absolutely continuous foliation on the unit square. In [6], M. Shub and A. Wilkinson found the same phenomenon on $\mathbb{T}^{3}$ with a different approach. They termed this phenomenon aptly "Fubini's nightmare". Surprisingly, this phenomenon is persistant and robust under perturbations. We refer readers to a survey [4] written by F. R. Hertz, M. R. Hertz and R. Ures for more details. Saghin and Xia [5, using a different mechanism, showed more examples of systems with persistent non-absolute continuous center and weak unstable foliations, where these foliations are not necessarily compact. Pesin [3] also showed some examples of a non-absolutely continuous foliation in his book.

In this paper, we constructs a simple example of skew-product diffeomorphism where the center foliation is pathological. And our main theorem is as follows:
Theorem 1.1. There exists a full measure set $E$ on $[0,1] \times \mathbb{T}^{2}$, together with a family of disjoint curves $\Gamma_{\beta}$ which fill out $[0,1] \times \mathbb{T}^{2}$, so that each curve $\Gamma_{\beta}$ intersects the set $E$ at most a single point.

The idea of the proof of this theorem is inspired by Milnor's proof of Katok's paradoxical example, see [2]. We construct a path of Anosov areapreserving diffeomorphism $f_{p}, p \in[0,1]$ on $\mathbb{T}^{2}$ beginning with Arnold's cat map. And we construct Markov partitions for each $f_{p}$ such that each Markov partition has two rectangles and the Lebesgue measure of one rectangle is

[^0]strictly increasing with respect to $p$. Then using a (semi)conjugacy from a shift space, we can construct a full measure set $E$ and a family of disjoint curves $\Gamma_{\beta}$ satisfying the conditions in the theorem.

Different from all other examples in [6], 5], [3], our construction is elementary, involving no Lyapunov exponents.

In section 2, we discuss about some definitions and properties of Anosov diffeomorphisms and Markov partitions and especially introduce Arnold's cat map. In section 3, we prove our main theorem. The main idea is to perturb the Arnold's cat map in such a way that the areas of Markov petitions of the perturbed map are different.

## 2. Preliminaries

Our proof of the main theorem uses some basic properties of a hyperbolic toral automorphism which is an Anosov diffeomorphism. We will introduce some basic definitions in this section. The content of this section is based on Brin and Stuck's book [1].

Definition 2.1. Let $M$ be a smooth Riemannian manifold and $f: M \rightarrow M$ be a diffeomorphism. A compact, $f$-invariant subset $\Lambda \subset M$ is call hyperbolic if there are $\lambda \in(0,1), C>0$, and families of subspaces $E^{s}(x) \subset T_{x} M$ and $E^{u}(x) \subset T_{x} M, x \in \Lambda$, such that for every $x \in \Lambda$,
(1) $T_{x} M=E^{s}(x) \oplus E^{u}(x)$,
(2) $\left\|d f_{x}^{n} v^{s}\right\| \leq C \lambda^{n}\left\|v^{s}\right\|$ for every $v^{s} \in E^{s}(x)$ and $n \geq 0$,
(3) $\left\|d f_{x}^{-n} v^{u}\right\| \leq C \lambda^{n}\left\|v^{u}\right\|$ for every $v^{u} \in E^{u}(x)$ and $n \geq 0$,
(4) $d f_{x} E^{s}(x)=E^{s}(f(x))$ and $d f_{x} E^{u}(x)=E^{u}(f(x))$.

In particular, if $\Lambda=M$, then $f$ is called an Anosov diffeomorphism
Hyperbolic toral automorphisms are examples of Anosov diffeomorphisms. Explicitly,
Definition 2.2. Let $M=\mathbb{T}^{n}$. Consider an $n \times n$ matrix $A$ with determinant one and with integer entries. The matrix $A$ induces a toral automorphism: $f_{A}: \mathbb{T}^{n}=\mathbb{R}^{n} / \mathbb{Z}^{n} \rightarrow \mathbb{T}^{n}$ defined by $f_{A} x=A x \bmod \mathbb{Z}^{n}$.

Moreover, if all eigenvalues of $A$ are away from the unit circle, then $f_{A}$ is a hyperbolic toral automorphism.

The best know example of a hyperbolic automorphism is Arnold's cat map that is $f_{A}: \mathbb{T}^{2} \rightarrow \mathbb{T}^{2}$ where

$$
A=\left(\begin{array}{ll}
2 & 1 \\
1 & 1
\end{array}\right)
$$

And the eigenvalues of $A$ is $\lambda=\frac{3+\sqrt{5}}{2}>1$ and $\lambda^{-1}=\frac{3-\sqrt{5}}{2}$. The corresponding eigenvectors are $\nu_{\lambda}=\left(1, \frac{\sqrt{5-1}}{2}\right)$ and $\nu_{\lambda-1}=\left(1, \frac{-\sqrt{5-1}}{2}\right)$.

To figure out the features of the Arnold's cat map, we need to introduce more concepts.

Definition 2.3. Let $f: M \rightarrow M$ be an Anosov diffeomorphism. For every $x \in M$, the (global) stable and unstable manifolds of $x$ are defined by

$$
\begin{aligned}
W^{s}(x) & :=\left\{y \in M: \operatorname{dist}\left(f^{n}(x), f^{n}(y)\right) \rightarrow 0 \text { as } n \rightarrow \infty\right\}, \\
W^{u}(x) & :=\left\{y \in M: \operatorname{dist}\left(f^{-n}(x), f^{-n}(y)\right) \rightarrow 0 \text { as } n \rightarrow \infty\right\} .
\end{aligned}
$$

And for any $\rho>0$, we define

$$
\begin{aligned}
W^{s}(x, \rho) & :=\left\{y \in M: \operatorname{dist}\left(f^{n}(x), f^{n}(y)\right)<\rho, \forall n \in \mathbb{N}_{0}\right\}, \\
W^{u}(x, \rho) & :=\left\{y \in M: \operatorname{dist}\left(f^{-n}(x), f^{-n}(y)\right)<\rho, \forall n \in \mathbb{N}_{0}\right\} .
\end{aligned}
$$

With these definitions, we can check that if $x_{0}$ is a fixed point of $f$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& W^{s}\left(x_{0}\right)=\bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}}(f)^{-n}\left(W^{s}\left(x_{0}, \rho\right)\right) \\
& W^{u}\left(x_{0}\right)=\bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}}(f)^{n}\left(W^{u}\left(x_{0}, \rho\right)\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Another concept that we would like to introduce is called a Markov partition. It allows us to study the dynamics of $f$ using symbolic dynamics.

Definition 2.4. Let $f: M \rightarrow M$ be an Anosov diffeomorphism. A collection of subset of $M, \mathcal{R}=\left\{R_{1}, \ldots, R_{n}\right\}$ is called a Markov partition for $(M, f)$ if
(1) $\operatorname{cl}\left(\right.$ int $\left.R_{i}\right)=R_{i}$ for each $R_{i}$;
(2) int $R_{i} \cap \operatorname{int} R_{j}=\varnothing$ for $i \neq j$;
(3) $M=\bigcup_{i} R_{i}$;
(4) If $x \in \operatorname{int} R_{i}, f(x) \in R_{j}$, then

$$
f\left(W^{s}\left(x, R_{i}\right)\right) \subseteq W^{s}\left(f(x), R_{j}\right), \quad f^{-1}\left(W^{u}\left(f(x), R_{j}\right)\right) \subseteq W^{u}\left(x, R_{i}\right),
$$

where $W^{s}(x, R):=W^{s}(x, \rho) \cap R$ and $W^{u}(x, R):=W^{u}(x, \rho) \cap R$, and $\rho>0$ satisfies $W^{s}(x, \rho) \cap W^{u}(y, \rho)$ is a single point in $R$ for all points $x, y$ in $R$.

As an example, we can construct a Markov partition for Arnold's cat $\operatorname{map} f_{A}$ by draw segments of stable and unstable manifolds of the fixed point $(0,0)$ until they cross sufficiently many times and separate $\mathbb{T}^{2}$ into two disjoint rectangles $R_{0}$ and $R_{1}: R_{0}$ consists of two parts $B_{1}$ and $B_{2} ; R_{1}$ consists of three parts $A_{1}, A_{2}$ and $A_{3}$. See figure 1.

If we consider the fundamental domain in Figure 1 as $[0,1] \times[0,1]$, we would like to mention that the preimage of the segment $p_{0} p_{3}$ is the segment $\left\{(x, y) \in p_{0} p_{3} \left\lvert\, x \in\left[1-\frac{2}{5+\sqrt{5}}, 1\right]\right.\right\}$. The preimage of the segment $p_{0} p_{2}$ is contained in the segment $\left\{(x, y) \in p_{0} p_{2} \left\lvert\, x \in\left[0,1-\frac{2}{5+\sqrt{5}}\right]\right.\right\}$. And the image of the segment $p_{0} p_{1}$ is contained in $p_{0} p_{1}$ where the $x$-coordinate of $p_{1}$ is less than $1-\frac{2}{5+\sqrt{5}}$.

Thus, if we perturb Arnold's cat map into $f_{p}$ in the region $\{(x, y) \mid x \in$ $(a, b)\}$, where $1-\frac{2}{5+\sqrt{5}}<a<b<1$. Then $(0,0)$ is still a fixed point of $f_{p}$ and if we draw stable manifold and unstable manifold of $(0,0)$, we can get


Figure 1. Markov partition of Arnold's cat map
$p_{0} p_{2}$ and $p_{0} p_{1}$ will not change. And $p_{0} p_{3}$ will be perturbed for the perturbed map $f_{p}$. This inspires us the proof of our main theorem.

## 3. Proof of Main theorem

Step 1: Our first step is to construct a continuous path of area-preserving Anosov diffeomorphism $f_{p}, p \in[0,1]$ on $\mathbb{T}^{2}$ beginning with the Arnold's cat map.

Let $f_{A}$ be the Arnold's cat map on $\mathbb{T}^{2}$ that is

$$
f_{A}(x, y)=(2 x+y, x+y) \bmod 1
$$

Take real number $1-\frac{2}{5+\sqrt{5}}<a<1$ and $\delta>0$ small enough such that $1-\frac{2}{5+\sqrt{5}}<a-\delta<a+\delta<1$. Then define a bump function $C(x)$ on $[0,1]$ such that
(1) $C(x) \equiv 0$ on $[0, a-\delta] \cup[a+\delta, 1]$;
(2) $0<C(x) \leq 1$ on $(a-\delta, a+\delta)$;

Now, for any $p \in[0,1]$, we define a function $\phi_{p}(x)$ on $[0,1]$ such that $\phi_{p}(x)=p \epsilon_{0} C(x)$. Then we define a diffeomorphism $f_{p}$ on $\mathbb{T}^{2}=\mathbb{R}^{2} / \mathbb{Z}^{2}$ such that

$$
f_{p}(x, y)=\left(2 x+y-\phi_{p}(x), x+y-\phi_{p}(x)\right) \bmod 1 .
$$

Note that $f_{0}=f_{A}$. And for a fixed sufficiently small $\epsilon_{0}>0$, we can see that for any $p \in[0,1], f_{p}$ is an Anosov diffeomorphism since a small perturbations
of an Anosov diffeomorphism is also Anosov. Also, for any $p \in[0,1]$, it follows from the Jacobian of $f_{p}$ equal to 1 that $f_{p}$ is an area-preserving diffeomorphism. Moreover, $f_{p}(x, y)=f_{A}(x . y)$ for $x(\bmod 1) \in[0, a-\delta] \cup$ $[a+\delta, 1]$. In conclusion, $f_{p}, p \in[0,1]$ is a path of area-preserving Anosov diffeomorphism.

Step 2: Our second step is to construct Markov partitions $\mathcal{R}_{p}$ with two rectangles for $f_{p}$ for each $p \in[0,1]$.

For any $p \in[0,1]$, since $(0,0)$ is a fixed point of $f_{p}$, one way to construct a Markov partition for $f_{p}$ is to draw segments of $W_{p}^{u}((0,0))$ and $W_{p}^{s}((0,0))$ until they cross sufficiently many times and separate $\mathbb{T}^{2}$ into two disjoint (curvilinear) rectangles. Explicitly, we view $\mathbb{T}^{2}=[0,1] \times[0,1] / \sim$, where we identify $(0, y) \sim(1, y)$ and $(x, 0) \sim(x, 1)$. Then we construct Markov partitions as follows (see Figure 2):
(1) From $(0,0)$ draw the line $l_{p}(0,0)=W_{p}^{u}((0,0))$ in the unit square and stops when it hits the boundary of the unit square.
(2) Form $(0,1)$ draw the line $l_{p}(0,1)=W_{p}^{s}((0,0))$ in the unit square and stops when it hits $l_{p}(0,0)$.
(3) From $(1,1)$ draw the line $l_{p}(1,1)=W_{p}^{u}((0,0))$ in the unit square and stops when it hits $l_{p}(0,1)$.
(4) Finally using symmetry, draw the extension $l_{p}$ of the line $l_{p}(0,0)$ in the unit square and stops when it hits $l_{p}(0,1)$ to complete the rectangles.


Figure 2. Markov partition of $f_{p}$

We denote the rectangle consisting of $B_{1, p}$ and $B_{2, p}$ by $R_{p}^{0}$, and denote the rectangle consisting of $A_{1, p}, A_{2, p}$ and $A_{3, p}$ by $R_{p}^{1}$. Then $\mathcal{R}_{p}=\left\{R_{p}^{0}, R_{p}^{1}\right\}$ is a Markov partition for $f_{p}$.

Note that when $p=0$, the Markov partition $\mathcal{R}_{0}$ is exactly the Markov partition for the Arnold's cat map we introduced in last section. And since for any $p \in[0,1]$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& W_{p}^{s}((0,0))=\bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}}\left(f_{p}\right)^{-n}\left(W_{p}^{s}((0,0), \rho)\right) \\
& W_{p}^{u}((0,0))=\bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}}\left(f_{p}\right)^{n}\left(W_{p}^{u}((0,0), \rho)\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

and for a sufficient small $\rho>0, W_{p}^{i}((0,0), \rho)=W_{0}^{i}((0,0), \rho), i=u$, $s$, we can find how the stable manifold and unstable manifold of $(0,0)$ change when $p$ changes from 0 to 1 .

Recall that $\forall p \in[0,1], f_{p}(x, y)=f_{0}(x . y)$ for $x(\bmod 1) \in[0, a-\delta] \cup[a+$ $\delta, 1]$. By our construction of $f_{p}$, since $a-\delta>1-\frac{2}{5+\sqrt{5}}$, we can check that $l_{p}(0,0)=l_{0}(0,0), l_{p}(0,1)=l_{0}(0,1)$ and $l_{p}=l_{0}$. And next let's figure out how $l_{p}(1,1)$ changes when $p$ changes.

To simplify the calculation, let's consider the fundamental domain as $[-1,0] \times[-1,0]$. Then $l_{p}(1,1)$ in this fundamental domain is a line from $(0,0)$ and stops when it hits the stable manifold of $(0,0)$. Let $\lambda=\frac{3+\sqrt{5}}{2}$, then we can see that $l_{0}(1,1)$ in this fundamental domain is the segment $\left\{(x, y) \left\lvert\, y=\frac{-1+\sqrt{5}}{2} x\right., x \in\left[-\lambda \frac{2}{5+\sqrt{5}}, 0\right]\right\}$. Thus, by our construction of $f_{p}$, for any $p \in[0,1]$, the segment

$$
\begin{aligned}
l_{p}(1,1) & =f_{p}\left(\left\{(x, y) \left\lvert\, y=\frac{-1+\sqrt{5}}{2} x\right., x \in\left[-\frac{2}{5+\sqrt{5}}, 0\right]\right\}\right) \\
& =\left\{\begin{array}{l}
l_{0}(1,1) \quad \text { for } x \in\left[-\lambda \frac{2}{5+\sqrt{5}}, \lambda(a-\delta-1)\right] \cup[\lambda(a+\delta-1), 0] \\
f_{p}\left(\left\{(x, y) \left\lvert\, y=\frac{-1+\sqrt{5}}{2} x\right., x \in[a-\delta-1, a+\delta-1]\right\}\right), \text { otherwise }
\end{array}\right.
\end{aligned}
$$

Moreover, if we write $l_{p}(1,1)=\left\{(X, Y(X)) \left\lvert\, X \in\left[-\lambda \frac{2}{5+\sqrt{5}}, 0\right]\right.\right\}$, we can calculate the area of the domain bounded by $l_{p}(1,1)$ and $l_{0}(1,1)$ for each $p \in[0,1]$ :

$$
\begin{aligned}
\text { Area }_{p} & =\int_{a-\delta-1}^{a+\delta-1} \frac{\sqrt{5}+1}{2} \frac{\sqrt{5}+3}{2} x d x-\int_{\lambda(a-\delta-1)}^{\lambda(a+\delta-1)} Y_{p}(X) d X \\
& =\int_{a-\delta-1}^{a+\delta-1} \frac{\sqrt{5}+1}{2} \frac{\sqrt{5}+3}{2} x d x-\int_{a-\delta-1}^{a+\delta-1}\left(\frac{\sqrt{5}+1}{2} x-\phi_{p}(x)\right)\left(\frac{\sqrt{5}+3}{2}-\frac{d \phi_{p}}{d x}(x)\right) d x \\
& =\int_{a-\delta-1}^{a+\delta-1} \phi_{p}(x) d x+\int_{a-\delta-1}^{a+\delta-1} \frac{\sqrt{5}+1}{2}\left(x \phi_{p}(x)\right)^{\prime} d x-\int_{a-\delta-1}^{a+\delta-1} \frac{1}{2}\left(\phi_{p}^{2}(x)\right)^{\prime} d x \\
& =\int_{a-\delta-1}^{a+\delta-1} \phi_{p}(x) d x .
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus, if we denote the Lebesgue measure on $\mathbb{T}^{2}$ by $m$, then for each $p \in[0,1]$, the Markov partition $\mathcal{R}_{p}$ defined above consists of two rectangles $R_{p}^{0}$ with $m\left(R_{p}^{0}\right)=\frac{5-\sqrt{5}}{10}-$ Area $_{p}$ and $R_{p}^{1}$ with $m\left(R_{p}^{1}\right)=\frac{5+\sqrt{5}}{10}+$ Area $_{p}$. Since Area ${ }_{p}$ is strictly increasing when $p$ increases from 0 to $1, m\left(R_{p}^{1}\right)$ is strictly increasing when $p$ increases from 0 to 1 .

In conclusion, for any $p \in[0,1]$, we construct a Markov partition $\mathcal{R}_{p}=$ $\left\{R_{p}^{0}, R_{p}^{1}\right\}$ for $f_{p}$, and $m\left(R_{p}^{1}\right)$ is strictly increasing when $p$ increases from 0 to 1.

Step 3: Our next step is to relate $\left(\mathbb{T}^{2}, f_{p}, m\right)$ to a shift space.
For any $p \in[0,1]$, by our construction of Markov partition $\mathcal{R}_{p}=\left\{R_{p}^{0}, R_{p}^{1}\right\}$, we can see that

$$
f_{p}\left(\operatorname{int}\left(R_{p}^{i}\right)\right) \cap \operatorname{int}\left(R_{p}^{j}\right) \neq \emptyset, \forall i, j=0,1 .
$$

Thus, the transition matrix defined by $\mathcal{R}_{p}$ and $f_{p}$ is

$$
B=\left(\begin{array}{ll}
1 & 1 \\
1 & 1
\end{array}\right) .
$$

And this matrix $B$ generates a shift of finite type:

$$
\Sigma_{B}=\left\{\left(b_{i}\right)_{i \in \mathbb{Z}}: b_{i} \in\{0,1\}, B_{b_{i} b_{i+1}}=1 \forall i \in \mathbb{Z}\right\}
$$

equipped with a left-side shift $\sigma$. And it can be shown that $\left(\mathbb{T}^{2}, f_{p}, m\right)$ is (semi)conjugate to the shift space $\left(\Sigma_{B}, \sigma, \mu_{p}\right)$, where the measure of a cylinder $\left.\left[b_{k} b_{k+1} \ldots b_{n}\right]\right)$ is defined by

$$
\mu_{p}\left(\left[b_{k} b_{k+1} \ldots b_{n}\right]\right)=m\left(R_{p}^{b_{k}}\right) \frac{m\left(f_{p}\left(R_{p}^{b_{k}}\right) \cap R_{p}^{b_{k+1}}\right)}{m\left(R_{p}^{b_{k}}\right)} \cdots \frac{m\left(f_{p}\left(R_{p}^{b_{n-1}}\right) \cap R_{p}^{b_{n}}\right)}{m\left(R_{p}^{b_{n-1}}\right)} .
$$

And this (semi)conjugacy is defined as follows: for any sequence

$$
b=\left(\ldots, b_{-1}, b_{0}, b_{1}, \ldots\right) \in \Sigma_{B},
$$

the (semi)conjugate $\psi_{p}: \Sigma_{B} \rightarrow \mathbb{T}^{2}$ maps this sequence to a point $\psi_{p}(b)=$ $\bigcap_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} f_{p}^{k}\left(R_{p}^{b-k}\right)$ in $\mathbb{T}^{2}$. Conversely, for any point $z \in \mathbb{T}^{2}$, one can also define a symbol sequence ( $\ldots, b_{-1}, b_{0}, b_{1}, \ldots$ ) associated with $z$ and $f_{p}$ such that $b_{k}=i$ if $f_{p}^{k}(z) \in R_{p}^{i}$, though it may not be unique. In terms of this coding, $f_{p}$ corresponds to the left shift map $\sigma$.

To prove our main theorem, we define a measurable set $E$ such that

$$
E=\left\{\begin{array}{l|l}
(p, z) \in[0,1] \times \mathbb{T}^{2} & \begin{array}{l}
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{b_{0}+\cdots+b_{n}}{n}=m\left(R_{p}^{1}\right), \\
\text { where }\left(\ldots, b_{-1}, b_{0}, b_{1}, \ldots\right) \text { is a symbol } \\
\text { sequence associated with } z \text { and } f_{p} .
\end{array}
\end{array}\right\} .
$$

Now we say $E$ is a well-defined and full measure set in $[0,1] \times \mathbb{T}^{2}$. Because for each fixed $p, f_{p}$ is a smooth area-preserving Anosov diffeomorphism, and
hence it is ergodic. By Birkhoff Ergodic theorem, for $m$-a.e. $z \in \mathbb{T}^{2}$,

$$
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \chi_{R_{p}^{1}}\left(f_{p}^{k}(z)\right)=m\left(R_{p}^{1}\right)
$$

where $\chi_{R_{p}^{1}}$ is the characteristic function of $R_{p}^{1}$. And if we denote the union of the boundaries of $R_{p}^{0}$ and $R_{p}^{1}$ by $\partial \mathcal{R}_{p}$, for $z \in \mathbb{T}^{2} \backslash \bigcup_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} f_{p}^{i}\left(\partial \mathcal{R}_{p}\right)$, the symbol sequence associated with $z$ and $f_{p}$ is unique, and in terms of coding by $f_{p}$, the above limit is exactly

$$
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{b_{0}+\cdots+b_{n}}{n}
$$

Therefore, let $C_{p}$ denote the torus $\{p\} \times \mathbb{T}^{2} \subset[0,1] \times \mathbb{T}^{2}$. Then the intersection of $E$ with each $C_{p}$ has two-dimensional Lebesgue measure 1. So, it follows from Fubini's Theorem that $E$ has full three-dimensional Lebesgue measure.

Next, we define a family of curves $\left\{\Gamma_{\beta}\right\}_{\beta \in \mathbb{T}^{2}}$ as follows. When we take $\epsilon_{0}$ small enough in step 1 , then for any $p \in[0,1]$, we can get a unique homeomorphism $h_{p}$ on $\mathbb{T}^{2}$ such that $f_{p}=h_{p} \circ f_{0} \circ h_{p}^{-1}$ since Anosov diffeomorphisms are $C^{1}$-structurally stable and $f_{p}$ is a continuous path beginning with $f_{0}$. Then for any $\beta \in \mathbb{T}^{2}$, we define

$$
\Gamma_{\beta}:=\left\{\left(p, h_{p}(\beta)\right) \in[0,1] \times \mathbb{T}^{2} \mid p \in[0,1]\right\} .
$$

Then $\left\{\Gamma_{\beta}\right\}_{\beta \in \mathbb{T}^{2}}$ is a family of disjoint curves which fill out $[0,1] \times \mathbb{T}^{2}$. And note that a symbol sequence of $\beta$ under $f_{0}$ is $\left(\ldots, \beta_{-1}, \beta_{0}, \beta_{1}, \ldots\right)$ and the symbol sequence of $h_{p}(\beta)$ under $f_{p}$ is the same sequence $\left(\ldots, \beta_{-1}, \beta_{0}, \beta_{1}, \ldots\right)$. Then each $\Gamma_{\beta}$ can intersect the measurable set $E$ in at most a single point $\left(p, h_{p}(\beta)\right)$. Because $m\left(R_{p}^{1}\right)$ is strictly increasing when $p$ increases from 0 to 1 , and a given symbol sequence can have at most one limit

$$
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{b_{0}+\cdots+b_{n}}{n}=m\left(R_{p}^{1}\right)
$$

which determines $p$.
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